

**REMARKS**

Claims 1 to 23 are presented.

In response to the Election/Restriction Requirement, Applicants elect to prosecute to the claims directed to Group II as further narrowed by the election to prosecute the combined species of Figures 6 and 9. Applicants designate claims 2 to 5 and 10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19 and 20 as reading upon this embodiment. Applicants represent that the invention claimed applies equally to all door types and that nonobviousness does not depend upon the particular door type. Therefore, Applicants transverse any restriction based upon specific door types.

Claims 12 and 17 have been amended to overcome the rejection based upon indefiniteness.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is urged this case is now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM, PC

By 

David C. Hanson, Reg. No. 23,024  
Attorney for Applicants  
700 Koppers Building  
436 Seventh Avenue  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
Telephone: 412-471-8815  
Facsimile: 412-471-4094  
E-Mail: webblaw@webblaw.com